





# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Www.uspto.gov

R ATTORNEY DOCKET NO CONFIRMATION NO

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,737	09/28/2001	Tomohiro Okada	29287/124 3470	
7	590 05/21/2003			
KENYON & KENYON Suite 700 1500 K Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			KIM, PAUL D	
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			\$ .			
Office Action Summary	09/964,737	OKADA ET AL.				
Cinco / icacin Camma. y	Examiner Paul D Kim	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1	ress			
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely, the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, ₄	453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		minor				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	ion No. <u>09/354,467</u>				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bur</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		tage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language provides</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice of Informat	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/964,737 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract does not sufficiently describe the claimed invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: --A MANUFACTURING METHOD OF A THIN FILM MAGNETIC HEAD--.

#### Claim Objections

4. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation "a thin film magnetic head" in lines 1-2 should be changed to –said thin film magnetic head—. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,737 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re. Claim 1: The limitation "the gap depth" in line 11 lacks antecedent basis.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shouji et al. (US PAT. 6,033,580).

Shouji et al. teach a method of making a thin film magnetic head comprising steps of: providing an upper magnetic core (17a) as shown in Fig. 7A and 7B; covering an end portion of the upper magnetic core with a non-magnetic protective film (23) as shown in Fig. 8A and 8B; removing the non-magnetic protective film from an upper part until the upper magnetic core is exposed as shown in Fig. 9A and 9B; and wherein a

front end of a connection area in which the end portion is connected a rear portion (19) of the upper magnetic core as shown in Fig. 11B is located between a face opposed to a medium and a position defining a gap depth as shown in Fig. 17 (col. 3, line 62 to col. 5, line 44).

As per claim 2 Shouji et al. teach that the non-magnetic protective film is removed by polishing process (col. 5, lines 23-26).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shouji et al. in view of Hira et al. (US PAT. 5,567,333).

Shouji et al. teach all of the limitations as set forth above except a process of removing of the non-magnetic protective film by gas etching. Hira et al. teach a process of producing a thin film magnetic head including a gas etching process to remove a material such as a photoresist. The gas of CF<sub>4</sub> or Ar is used for the gas etching (col. 7, lines 3-43). Therefore, it would also have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify a process of removing of the non-magnetic protective film of Shouji et al. by a gas etching process as taught by Hira

Application/Control Number: 09/964,737

Art Unit: 3729

et al. for the purpose of obtaining accuracy improvement of a uniform top surface of the

magnetic head.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul D Kim whose telephone number is 703-308-8356.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday between 7:00 AM to 5:30

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for

regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-

5648.

pdk

May 6, 2003

A DEXTER TUGBANG

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINEN